

**Los Angeles County Community College - WIB Collaborative
Policy and Alignment of Boards Subcommittee**

Membership: Kevin Anderson, Phillip Dunn, David Eder, Mike Savich, Yolanda Castro, Marty Alvarado, Marvin Da Costa, Paul De La Cerda, Laura Cantu		
Challenges	Opportunities	Tools and Resources Needed to Remedy Challenges or Pursue Opportunities
Policy Discussion		
shared policy around use of lmi, consortium, districts for decision making;	Make recommendations to the State for working across regions.	
awarding of credit; alignment of sectors and not overlapping sectors;	Opportunity for a transparent problem-solving approach.	
state-related policy changes for better guidance and expectation of training for ETPL;	Trusting partners means we need some wins.	
a workable workforce region	Information piece that is very important is knowing who we are moving forward with. Grant relationships are not serious but convenient.	
state implementing legislation to weigh in on community college/WIB collaboration and membership requirement.	Establishing a glossary of terms to define sector initiatives the same.	
community college have own structures, practicies, cultures	Target issues most critical that influence our ability to perform will move us forward.	
For smaller colleges, policy is remedied through social circles, but not the case with larger colleges.	Develop a process for collecting and sharing data.	
	Hold off from developing policy until we are in a project that shows what policy is needed.	
	The urgency for addressing plan must be priority.	

**Los Angeles County Community College - WIB Collaborative
Policy and Alignment of Boards Subcommittee**

Challenges	Opportunities	Tools and Resources Needed to Remedy Challenges or Pursue Opportunities
Alignment of Boards Discussion		
<p>The State does not have to submit its plan until 2016, which poses a problem of what the plan will look like. No incentive to change WIB plan prior to 2016.</p>	<p>Creates opportunity for the WIBs to engage with community colleges.</p>	
<p>WIB boards cannot be effective unless educators are at the table to share perspective on CTE-related legislation and outcomes.</p>	<p>The law requires one but does not limit more than one. This body can serve as a recommending body for new members on the board. Rotate membership.</p>	
<p>Terminology that doesn't exist across partnerships.</p>	<p>Board membership (SMC) has put community college front and center for the WIBs.</p>	
	<p>The law requires standing committees with stakeholders with subject-matter expertise for operations, youth, and persons with disabilities, and on the board.</p>	
	<p>Take advantage of the LAOCRC agenda to coordinate around WIB Board.</p>	